

Exhibit D

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
3 EASTERN DIVISION
4
5
6

7 IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION VS.

8 Case No: 1:17-md-2804-DAP
9

10 This document relates to:

11 All cases
12

13 AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

14 2/8/2019 Discovery Telephonic Conference
15

16 Transcribed February 11, 2019
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 bit of a digression and talk about the parties are
2 working on essentially an agreement to amend the CMO,
3 CMO1 that would extend deadlines and talk about what
4 it is that the parties will do, which I understand
5 includes supplementation of interrogatories,
6 supplementation of 30(b)(6) written topics, and so on.

7 I've reviewed the last submission I got on
8 that, which was defendants' redline to plaintiffs'
9 proposal. And we had talked, also, about getting
10 together Tuesday to get in a room and see if we can
11 negotiate this out. Frankly, what I received is --
12 it's all in code. I'm sure that plaintiffs and
13 defendants understand what they're saying when they're
14 saying the things they're saying in their proposal,
15 but to the extent that I'm sure it will have to
16 happen, that I have to settle a dispute over what any
17 of that means, I don't know. It's literally code.

18 And so what I think we need to do is sit in a
19 room and figure out what additional discovery will be
20 allowed exactly, and what the deadlines for that
21 discovery will be, and give examples and state it
22 specifically, whether it's by agenda item number or
23 anything else. And come to a very clear understanding
24 of what's left and what will be allowed going forward,
25 and deadlines for it, and that kind of thing. And as